

Xenos Christian Fellowship
Christian Ministry Light Unit 2
Using Your Bible
Week 6 – Biblical Canonicity

Introduction

Dan Brown, *The DaVinci Code*:

Professor Teabing: “Everything you need to know about the Bible can be summed up by the great canon doctor Martyn Percy.” He cleared his throat and declared, “The Bible did not arrive by fax from heaven.”

Sophie: “I beg your pardon?”

Teabing: “The Bible is a product of *man*, my dear. Not of God. The Bible did not fall magically from the clouds. Man created it as a historical record of tumultuous times, and it has evolved through countless translations, additions and revisions. History has never had a definitive version of the book... More than eighty gospels were considered for the New Testament, and yet only a relative few were chosen for inclusion – Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John among them.”¹

Elaine Pagels, *The Gnostic Gospels*:

“We now begin to see that what we call Christianity... actually represents only a small selection of specific sources, chosen from among dozens of others.”²

“The concerns of Gnostic Christians survived only as a suppressed current, like a river driven underground.”³

Canonicity

Definition: Canonicity is the study of the _____ and _____ of the books given by God's inspiration.

Why does this matter?

Our approach

(Geisler & Nix) “God gives divine authority to a book and men of God receive it. God reveals and His people recognize what He reveals. *Canonicity is determined by God and discovered by man.*”⁴

¹ Dan Brown, *The DaVinci Code* (New York, New York: Doubleday, 2003), p. 231.

² Elaine Pagels, *The Gnostic Gospels* (New York, New York: Vintage Books, 1989) p. xxxv.

³ Elaine Pagels, *The Gnostic Gospels*, (New York, New York: Vintage Books, 1989) p. 150.

⁴ Geisler & Nix, *From God to Man: How We Got Our Bible* (Chicago, Illinois: Moody Press, 1974) p. 66.

The Hebrew Bible

The Law	The Prophets		The Writings		
	Former Prophets	Latter Prophets	Poetical books	Five Rolls	Historical books
Genesis	Joshua	Isaiah	Psalms	Song of Songs	Daniel
Exodus	Judges	Jeremiah	Proverbs	Ruth	Ezra-Nehemiah
Leviticus	Samuel	Ezekiel	Job	Lamentations	Chronicles
Numbers	Kings	The Twelve		Esther	
Deuteronomy				Ecclesiastes	

1 & 2 Samuel, 1 & 2 Kings, 1 & 2 Chronicles, and Ezra & Nehemiah were each combined into single books. The 12 minor prophets were also combined into one book called “The Twelve.” So the 24 books listed here correspond exactly to our 39 books.

How were the books of the Old Testament selected?

1. The Antiquity Theory

“As centuries passed, Israel’s legal and prophetic writings grew ever more venerable and were quoted, debated, and read publically in the synagogues until familiarity with their teaching and their recognized consistency with the Mosaic tradition made them by use and habit part of the Hebrew Bible.”⁵

Problems with this view:

A. The Old Testament itself suggests that its books were accepted _____.

- Moses told the Israelites to place the book of the Law beside the Ark (Deut. 31:24-26) and were warned not to add to it (Deut. 4:2).⁶
- Joshua received Moses’ writing as scripture (Joshua 1:7,8).
- Joshua commended his own writings to the people of Israel as scripture (Joshua 24:26).
- Samuel commended his writings to the people as scripture (1 Sam. 10:25).
- Micah, who was a contemporary of Isaiah, accepted Isaiah’s words as scripture (compare Micah 4:1-4 with Isaiah 2:2-4).
- Jeremiah regarded Micah’s writings (125 years earlier) as being from God (Jer. 26:18).
- Daniel, writing around 537 BC, accepts Jeremiah’s writings (627-585 BC) as scripture (Daniel 9:2). Notice also the clear implication that a collection of inspired “books” already exists.

⁵ Stephen L. Harris, *Understanding the Bible* (Palo Alto, California: Mayfield Publishing Company, 1985), p. 9.

⁶ On additions to Deuteronomy that narrate the death of Moses, see Walter C. Kaiser Jr., *The Old Testament Documents: Are They Reliable and Relevant* (Downers Grove, Illinois: InterVarsity Press, 2001), p. 21-22.

- Old Testament authors knew and the Jews understood that Moses and the prophets that followed him were receiving revelation directly from God (re. David: 2 Sam. 23:2-3; re. Moses: Ex. 31:8; 34:1). “Thus says the Lord” appears almost 500 times in the writing prophets.⁷

B. The Old Testament authors were aware of many ancient writings that

Conclusion:

2. The “Three Stage” Theory:

Stage 1: The Pentateuch accepted as scripture by 400 B.C.

“By about 400 B.C.E. the Jews regarded the first five books of the Bible (the Pentateuch) as authoritative and binding.”⁸

Stage 2: The Prophets accepted as scripture by 200 B.C.

“Next to be accepted were the prophetic books, which form the second major division of the Hebrew canon. By about 200 BC the former prophets (Joshua, Judges, Samuel, and Kings) and the Latter Prophets ([Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel and the 12 minor prophets]) were regarded as sacred.”⁹

Stage 3: The Writings accepted as scripture by 90 A.D.

“As early as the mid-second century BCE a third category of Scripture was recognized... these ‘other volumes’ are the Writings (in Hebrew, the Kethuvim), whose contents were not clearly defined for many generations. Not until after the Romans had destroyed Jerusalem in 70 C.E. did the Jewish community attempt to set a precise limit on the number of books comprising the Writings... Following the Roman destruction of the Jewish state, a group of distinguished rabbis ... assembled at Jamnia on the Palestinian coast to define and consolidate the essential teachings of the Jewish religion, including a statement on which books of the Hebrew Bible were to be accepted as sacred and authoritative.”¹⁰

Problems with this view:

A. There is little support for the widely held view that a “council was held at Jamnia.

⁷ Kaiser says 5000 times, which must be a mistake. Ibid., p. 20.

⁸ Stephen L. Harris, *Understanding the Bible* (Palo Alto, California: Mayfield Publishing Company, 1985), pp. 9,10.

⁹ Ibid., p. 10.

¹⁰ Ibid., p. 10.

(The Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church) “The suggestion that a particular synod of Jamnia, held c. 100 A.D., finally settled the limits of the OT Canon, was made by H.E. Ryle; though it has had wide currency, there is no evidence to support it.”¹¹

B. The Jews accepted our current Old Testament as scripture long before Jamnia.

(Josephus, ~75-99 A.D.) “For we have not an innumerable multitude of books among us, disagreeing from and contradicting one another (as the Greeks have), but only twenty-two books, which contain the records of all the past times; which are justly believed to be divine; and of them, five belong to Moses, which contain his laws, and the traditions of the origin of mankind until his death. This interval of time is little short of three thousand years; but as to the time from the death of Moses till the reign of Artaxerxes king of Persia, who reigned after Xerxes, the prophets, who were after Moses, wrote down what was done in their times in thirteen books. The remaining four books contain hymns to God, and precepts for the conduct of human life.

From Artaxerxes to our own time the complete history has been written but has not been deemed worthy of equal credit with the *earlier records* because of the failure of the exact succession of the prophets.

We have given practical proof of our reverence for our own scriptures. For, although *such long ages have now passed*, no one has ventured to add, or to remove, or to alter anything, and it is an instinct with *every Jew*, from the day of his birth, to regard them as decrees of God...”¹²

The Dead Sea Scrolls (DSS): Discovered in 1947, the DSS contain writings dating largely from 150 B.C. to 50 A.D.. These writings reflect how Jews at Qumran viewed the Old Testament. It is clear that they recognized the same Old Testament canon that we do.

- Copies (partial or complete) of every Old Testament book except Esther have been found.¹³
- 26 of our 39 canonical books are quoted with the formulae “It is written” or “God said.” A commentary on Habakkuk indicates that Habakkuk was also considered to be inspired.¹⁴

¹¹ F.L. Cross & E.A. Livingstone, eds., *The Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church, Second Edition* (Oxford, Great Britain: Oxford University Press, 1985) p. 726. For more on what did and did not happen at Jamnia, see *Jamnia Revisited*, by Jack P. Lewis in Lee Martin McDonald & James A. Sanders, eds., *The Canon Debate*, (Peabody, Massachusetts: Hendrickson Publishers, Inc., 2002) pp. 146-162.

¹² Flavius Josephus, *Against Apion*, book 1, paragraph 8.

¹³ R. Laird Harris, *Inspiration and Canonicity of the Bible* (Greenville, South Carolina: A Press, 1996), p. 47.

¹⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 144.

- No book outside of the present Hebrew canon is quoted or referred to as scripture.¹⁵ The apocryphal books, for example, are quoted, but *never with one of the above formulae* (more on the Apocrypha below).
- Like Josephus, an influential Essene book called *Jubilees* numbers the biblical books at 22.¹⁶

Jesus: Jesus quoted books from all three divisions of the Hebrew Bible and used the same Old Testament that we do today.

(Luke 11:50-51) “I will send to them prophets and apostles, and some of them they will kill and some they will persecuted, so that that the blood of all the prophets, shed since the foundation of the world, may be charged against this generation, 51 *FROM the blood of Abel TO the blood of Zechariah*, who perished between the altar and the house of God; yes, I tell you, it shall be charged against this generation.”

Conclusion:

3. Our view: The books of the Old Testament were accepted as they were written based on objective criteria:

What is a prophet?

How can we be sure that prophetic authorship was the criteria used for including books in the Old Testament?

A. External evidence

Josephus:

“From Artexerxes to our own time the complete history has been written but has not been deemed worthy of equal credit with the earlier records *because of the failure of the exact succession of the prophets.*”¹⁷

¹⁵ See Roger Beckwith, *The Old Testament Canon of the New Testament Church* (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Eerdmans, 1986) pp. 74-75, 364.

¹⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 365.

¹⁷ Flavius Josephus, *Against Apion*, book 1, paragraph 8.

The Dead Sea Scrolls (DSS): The Dead Sea Scrolls refer to the canonical books of the Old Testament as "the Law and the Prophets" or "Moses and the Prophets." Since Moses himself was seen as a prophet, this is further evidence that ancient Jews saw the entire Old Testament as the work of prophets and used this criteria for including some books and rejecting others.

B. Internal Evidence

1. Moses wrote the Pentateuch (Exodus 17:14; 24:4-7; 34:27; Deuteronomy 31:9,22,24; Ezra 7:6; Psalm 103:7; Joshua 8:31, 23:6; 1 Kings 2:3).
2. The Major Prophets (Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel), except for Daniel, identify themselves as prophets. They stated that they were ordered to write (Jeremiah 30:2; Ezekiel 43:11; Isaiah 8:1) because they were aware that they were communicating revelation. This would account for the books by their names plus Lamentations (by Jeremiah).
3. Each of the 12 Minor Prophets identify themselves as prophets.
4. 1st and 2nd Samuel, and 1st and 2nd Kings were written by prophets (1 Chronicles 29:29; 2 Chronicles 9:29; 12:15; 13:22; 20:34; 32:32; 33:19).
5. Joshua (Joshua 1:1; 5:13-15), Solomon (1 Kings 3:5ff.), and Daniel (Daniel 7:1) all received revelation from God, either directly or through dreams and visions, which squares with God's description of a prophet (Deuteronomy 13:1; Numbers 12:6-8). Joshua was the first fulfillment of God's promise in Deuteronomy 18:15 to raise up another prophet after Moses. This accounts for Joshua, Daniel, Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, and Song of Solomon.
6. David and Asaph, the two main authors of the Psalms, are identified as prophets in Nehemiah 12:24,36 ("David the man of God") and 2 Chronicles 29:30 ("Asaph the seer"). Peter also called David a prophet (Acts 2:30).

Only Judges, Ruth, Chronicles, Job, Ezra, Nehemiah, and Esther lack internal evidence for prophetic authorship. But external evidence that prophetic authorship was the criteria used suggests that the authors of these books were included in the Hebrew canon because they were written by prophets.

This conclusion is further supported by the fact that New Testament authors understood the entire Old Testament as being written by prophets.

1. Jesus often referred to the entire Old Testament as "the Law (written by

Moses the prophet) and the Prophets” (Mt. 7:12; Mt. 22:40; Luke 16:16 “the Law and the prophets were proclaimed until John”).

2. Paul described the entire Old Testament as being written by prophets:

(Romans 16:25) “Now to Him who is able to establish you according to my gospel and the preaching of Jesus Christ, according to the revelation of the mystery which has been kept secret for long ages past, 26 but now is manifested, and by the *Scriptures of the prophets*, according to the commandment of the eternal God, has been made known to all the nations, leading to obedience of faith”

(Romans 3:21) “the law and the prophets.”

How did the Jews determine who was and was not a prophet?

Test #1:

(Deut. 18:18-22) "I will raise up a prophet from among their countrymen like you, and I will put My words in his mouth, and he shall speak to them all that I command him. And it shall come about that whoever will not listen to My words which he shall speak in My name, I Myself will require it of him. But the prophet who shall speak a word presumptuously in My name which I have not commanded him to speak, or which he shall speak in the name of other gods, that prophet shall die.' "And you may say in your heart, 'How shall we know the word which the LORD has not spoken?' "*When a prophet speaks in the name of the LORD, if the thing does not come about or come true, that is the thing which the LORD has not spoken.* The prophet has spoken it presumptuously; you shall not be afraid of him."

Deuteronomy 18:15-22 requires that prophets validate their claims by giving short-range, detailed predictions (implied in v. 22). The penalty for any inaccuracy in these predictions was death. Many subsequent passages show that the Israelites applied this test.

(1 Samuel 3:19,20) “Thus Samuel grew and the LORD was with him and let none of his words fail. And all Israel from Dan even to Beersheba knew that Samuel was confirmed as a prophet of the LORD.”

(Jeremiah 28:9) "The prophet who prophesies of peace,

when the word of the prophet shall come to pass, then that prophet will be known as one whom the LORD has truly sent."

(Ezekiel 33:33) "So when it comes to pass-- as surely it will-- then they will know that a prophet has been in their midst."

See also Jeremiah 44:29,30.

Test #2:

(Deuteronomy 13:1-5) "If a prophet or a dreamer of dreams arises among you and gives you a sign or a wonder, and the sign or the wonder comes true, concerning which he spoke to you, saying, 'Let us go after other gods (whom you have not known) and let us serve them,' you shall not listen to the words of that prophet or that dreamer of dreams; for the LORD your God is testing you to find out if you love the LORD your God with all your heart and with all your soul. You shall follow the LORD your God and fear Him; and you shall keep His commandments, listen to His voice, serve Him, and cling to Him. But that prophet or that dreamer of dreams shall be put to death, because he has counseled rebellion against the LORD your God who brought you from the land of Egypt and redeemed you from the house of slavery, to seduce you from the way in which the LORD your God commanded you to walk. So you shall purge the evil from among you."

Deuteronomy 13:1-5 states that in addition to accurate prediction of future events, a prophets' theological and ethical teaching must also agree with prior revelation.

Because God safeguarded his revelation through these two tests, God held the Israelites culpable for following false prophets and their gods (see Isaiah 41:21-29; 44:24-28; 45:20,21; 46:5-11; 48:1-8).

Conclusion:

How were the books of the New Testament Selected?

1. Dan Brown's view¹⁸ in *The DaVinci Code*:

¹⁸ Some may object that we are reacting to a work of fiction, but in an interview with Charles Gibson on *Good Morning America*, Dan Brown said that he accepts the theory about Mary Magdalene that the book is based on. See Hank Hannegraff & Paul L. Maier, *The DaVinci Code: Fact or Fiction* (Wheaton, Illinois: Tyndale House Publishers, Inc.) p. 71, footnote 8.

“Because Constantine upgraded Jesus’ status almost four centuries after Jesus’ death, thousands of documents already existed chronicling His life as a mortal man. To rewrite the history books, Constantine knew he would need a bold stroke. From this sprang the most profound moment in Christian history...Constantine commissioned and financed a new Bible, which omitted those gospels that spoke of Christ’s human traits and embellished those gospels that made him godlike. The earlier gospels were outlawed, gathered up, and burned.”¹⁹

Problems with this view:

A. New Testament authors expected their readers to immediately receive their letters as revelation from God.

(1 Cor. 14:37) If anyone thinks he is a prophet or spiritual, let him recognize that the things which I write to you are the Lord's commandment.

(1 Thes. 2:13) And for this reason we also constantly thank God that when you received from us the word of God's message, you accepted it not as the word of men, but for what it really is, the word of God, which also performs its work in you who believe.

(1 John 1:4,5) And these things we write, so that our joy may be made complete. 5 And this is the message we have heard from Him and announce to you...

B. The apostles accepted other New Testament writings as scripture, thus showing that, just like the Old Testament, believers knew *immedately* that these books were scripture.

(2 Peter 3:15,16) “...just as also our beloved brother Paul, according to the wisdom given him, wrote to you, 16 as also in all his letters, speaking in them of these things, in which are some things hard to understand, which the untaught and unstable distort, as they do also the rest of the Scriptures...”

(1 Tim. 5:18) “For the Scripture says, ‘YOU SHALL NOT MUZZLE THE OX WHILE HE IS THRESHING,’ and ‘The laborer is worthy of his wages.’”

¹⁹ Dan Brown, *The DaVinci Code* (New York, New York: Doubleday, 2003) p. 235.

C. Early on, apostolic letters were read and circulated as scripture. These quotes are important because they show that long before any church councils, the church was well aware that these texts were scripture.

(Colossians 4:16) And when this letter is read among you, have it also read in the church of the Laodiceans; and you, for your part read my letter that is coming from Laodicea.

Polycarp, bishop of Smyrna (70 – 155 A.D.):

Quoting Psalm 4:5 and Ephesians 4:26: “As it is said in these *scriptures*, ‘Be ye angry and sin not’ and ‘let not the sun go down upon your wrath.’”²⁰

Writing to the church at Ephesus about Paul’s letter to the Ephesians, which they still possessed: “For neither I, nor any other such one, can come up to the wisdom of the blessed and glorified Paul. He, when among you, accurately and steadfastly taught the word of truth... And when absent from you, he wrote you a letter, which, if you carefully study, you will find the means of building you up in that faith which has been given you.”²¹

D. Long before 4th-century church councils, there was widespread agreement about most of the New Testament books.

By the middle of the second century, most churches were using our 4 gospels, Acts, the 13 letters of Paul, 1 Peter, and 1 John.

(Bruce Metzger) “What is really remarkable is that, though the fringes of the New Testament canon remained unsettled, a high degree of unanimity concerning the greater part of the New Testament canon was attained within the first two centuries among the very diverse and scattered congregations not only in the Mediterranean world, but also over an area extending from Britain to Mesopotamia.”²²

The claim in *The DaVinci Code* that there was no agreement on which gospels were inspired prior to the council of Nicea (325 A.D.) is untrue. We have three canonical lists prior to Nicea dating as far back as 180 A.D.

²⁰ Polycarp, *The Epistle of Polycarp to the Philippians*, chapter 12.

²¹ Polycarp, *The Epistle of Polycarp to the Philippians*, chapter 3.

²² Bruce Metzger, *The New Testament: Its Background, Growth, and Content, Third Edition* (Nashville, Tennessee: Abingdon Press, 2003), pp. 317-318. Metzger is a widely respected professor at Princeton Theological Seminary who specializes in studying ancient documents related to the New Testament.

that accept our four gospels *and* exclude all others. Those who accepted pseudoepigraphical books as real were splinter groups and gnostics. Just as Mormons accept other books as scripture today, that hardly suggests the rest of us are confused and not sure what to accept. Everyone knows their book was written much later and has no authority, just as early Christians knew the pseudepigraphal books were written long after Christ.

Why did church councils discuss which books should be included?

(Bruce Metzger) “When, toward the close of the fourth century, church synods and councils began to issue pronouncements concerning the New Testament canon, they were merely ratifying the judgment of individual Christians throughout the church... In the most basic sense, neither individuals nor councils created the canon; instead they came to recognize and acknowledge the self-authenticating quality of these writings, which imposed themselves as canonical upon the church.”²³

Should we be suspicious of books that took a long time to be universally recognized?

Some New Testament books like 2 Peter, James, Hebrews, and Revelation did take a long time to be widely accepted. But Bruce Metzger points out, “that just shows how careful the early church was... they weren’t ‘gung ho,’ sweeping in every last document that happened to have anything about Jesus in it.”²⁴

2. Our view: The books of the New Testament were accepted as they were written based on objective criteria:

Apostolic authorship in the New Testament corresponds to prophetic authorship in the Old Testament.

What is an apostle?

How can we be sure that apostolic authorship was the criteria used for including books in the New Testament?

A. Jesus authorized the apostles as his official spokesmen, which included the authority to write scripture (see Matthew 10:40; John 14:26; 15:26; 16:13).

²³ Ibid., p. 318.

²⁴ Bruce Metzger quoted in Lee Strobel, *The Case for Christ: A Journalist’s Personal Investigation of the Evidence for Jesus* (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Zondervan, 1998) p. 68.

B. From the beginning of the church, the Apostles were seen as the source of authoritative teaching.

(Acts 2:42) And they were continually devoting themselves to the apostles' teaching and to fellowship, to the breaking of bread and to prayer. 43 And everyone kept feeling a sense of awe; and many wonders and signs were taking place through the apostles.

The Council of Jerusalem (Acts 15:1-16:4)

(Ephesians 3:4) And by referring to this, when you read you can understand my insight into the mystery of Christ, 5 which in other generations was not made known to the sons of men, as it has now been revealed to His holy *apostles and prophets* in the Spirit..."

(2 Peter 3:1) This is now, beloved, the second letter I am writing to you in which I am stirring up your sincere mind by way of reminder, 2 that you should remember the words spoken beforehand by the holy prophets and the commandment of the Lord and Savior *spoken by your apostles*.

C. Leaders in the early church perceived the New Testament as the work of the Apostles.

Polycarp (70 – 155 A.D), bishop of Smyrna: From his letter to the Philippians: "So then 'let us serve him [Christ] with fear and all reverence', as he himself commanded us, as did the *apostles*, who preached the gospel to us, and the *prophets*, who proclaimed beforehand the coming of our Lord" (Polycarp to the Philippians 6:3).

Irenaeus (130 – 202 A.D.): After charging a heretical group with distorting scripture to support their own position, Irenaeus says, "Such then is their system, which neither the *prophets announced*, nor *the Lord taught*, or *the apostles delivered*, but of which they boast that beyond all others they have a perfect knowledge. They gather their views from other sources than the Scriptures..." (Irenaeus, Against Heresies, book 1, chapter 8, paragraph 1)

The Muratorian Fragment (170 A.D.): This ancient document contains a discussion about which books should be included in the Bible. In this section, notice the reasoning the author uses to reject a book called *The Shepherd of Hermas*: "But the Shepherd was written by Hermas in the city of Rome quite recently, in our own times, when his brother Pius occupied the bishop's chair in

the church of the city of Rome; and therefore it may be read indeed, but cannot be given out to the people in church either among *the prophets*, since their number is complete, or among *the apostles* for it is after [their] time.”²⁵

D. Heretics often distributed their own books under the name of an apostle, implying that apostolic authorship was a known criteria for accepting books into the canon.

(2 Corinthians 11:12) But what I am doing, I will continue to do, that I may cut off opportunity from those who desire an opportunity to be regarded just as we are in the matter about which they are boasting. 13 For such men are false apostles, deceitful workers, disguising themselves as apostles of Christ.

Eusebius (275 – 339 A.D.): Discussing how heretics distribute their own writings under the name of an apostle, Eusebius says, “we have... been obliged to make a list of [disputed books], distinguishing between those writings which... are true, genuine, and recognized, and those which differ from them in that they are not canonical but disputed, yet nevertheless are known to most of the writers of the church, in order that we might know them and the writings which are put forward by heretics under the name of the apostles containing gospels such as those of Peter, and Thomas, and Matthias, and some others besides or Acts such as those of Andrew and John and the other apostles.”²⁶

E. We can connect the authorship of *every* New Testament book to an apostle.

As with the Old Testament, if apostolic authorship was the criteria for accepting a book into the New Testament, we would expect many of the New Testament authors to identify themselves as apostles. That is exactly what we find.

- The thirteen letters of Paul all indicate that he is the author. Although the Pauline authorship of some of these letters has been challenged by some modern scholars, there is good evidence that all the letters we have are authentic.
- The gospel of John indicates that the author is one of Jesus' original disciples (John 21:23,24).
- The 3 epistles of John are identical to the gospel in style. 1 John also claims to be written by an eye-witness of Jesus (1 John 1:1).
- Revelation claims to have been written by John (Revelation 1:4,9).
- Both 1 Peter and 2 Peter claim Petrine authorship (1 Peter 1:1; 2 Peter 1:1; 3:1).

²⁵ *The Muratorian Fragment*, lines 73-80.

²⁶ Eusebius, *Ecclesiastical History*, Book 3, chapter 25, paragraphs 6 & 7.

The remaining books have no internal reference to an apostolic author, but in every case they have strong historic ties to an apostle.

- **Matthew:** Early church writings state that the apostle Matthew wrote the gospel named for him.

Papias (140 AD) states that “Matthew composed the oracles in Hebrew, and each one interpreted them as he was able.”²⁷

Irenaeus (180-192 AD) states: “Matthew, among the Hebrews in their own dialect, brought out also a gospel while Peter and Paul in Rome were preaching and founding the church.”²⁸

- **Mark:** John Mark was Peter's *amenuensis* – an understudy who researches or writes under supervision. Paul and Peter both used *amenuenses* in some of their letters. (See Tertius in Rom. 16:22 and Silvanus in 1 Pet. 5:12; Paul also implies that he used amenuenses in Gal. 6:11 and 2 Thess. 3:17.)

Papias said that “Mark, having become Peter's interpreter, wrote accurately all that he remembered...”²⁹

Irenaeus says that “Mark, the disciple and interpreter of Peter, also himself committed to us inscripturated the things being preached by Peter.”³⁰

Tertullian (200 AD) also states: “...that (gospel) which Mark had published may be affirmed to be Peter's, whose interpreter Mark was.”³¹

- **Luke:** Luke functioned as Paul's amenuensis.

Irenaeus said of the gospel of Luke: “... Luke, the follower of Paul, the gospel being preached by that one (Paul) he put down in a book.”³²

Origen says that Luke, “composed for Gentile converts...”

²⁷ Eusebius, *Penguin Classics: Eusebius, The History of the Church* (New York: Penguin Books, 1984), p. 152.

²⁸ Eusebius, *Penguin Classics: Eusebius, The History of the Church* (New York: Penguin Books, 1984), p. 210.

²⁹ Eusebius, *Penguin Classics: Eusebius, The History of the Church* (New York: Penguin Books, 1984), p. 152.

³⁰ Eusebius, *Penguin Classics: Eusebius, The History of the Church* (New York: Penguin Books, 1984), p. 210.

³¹ Tertullian, *Against Marcion*, book 4, chapter 5, in Alexander Roberts and James Donaldson, ed., (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Eerdmans, 1980) vol. 3, p. 350.

³² Eusebius, *Penguin Classics: Eusebius, The History of the Church* (New York: Penguin Books, 1984), pp. 210,211.

the Gospel commended by Paul.”³³

Tertullian called it “Paul's gospel written by Luke.”³⁴

It is also clear that Luke and *Acts* have the same author.³⁵

- **Hebrews:** Hebrews is of uncertain authorship, although it is theologically and conceptually connected with Paul. At the same time, the grammar and vocabulary are quite different from Paul's other books. Two options are possible:

1. Clement of Rome (quoted by Eusebius) said that "the epistle to the Hebrews is Paul's," originally written in the Hebrew dialect but later translated by Luke into Greek for use among Greek Christians. This would account for the obvious difference in vocabulary and style between Hebrews and Paul's letters.

2. One of Paul's companions may have written it under his supervision, possibly Timothy (see Hebrews 13:23). Barnabas (a Levite) and Apollos are also possibilities. This would explain the difference in style and vocabulary, as well as the author's third-person reference to the apostles in Hebrews 2:3,4.

Aside: Don't miss another important point about all of the quotes above – they again show that leaders in the early church were very concerned about the issue of apostolic authorship.

- **James:** There were three apostles named James—James the son of Zebedee, James the son of Alphaeus, and James, the half-brother of Christ who was designated as an apostle after Jesus' resurrection. Herod had James the son of Zebedee “put to death by the sword” (Acts 12:2) probably in 44 A.D., making it unlikely that he wrote this letter. Most commentators attribute this epistle to Jesus' half brother James.
- **Jude:** This author calls himself “the brother of James,” suggesting that his brother was well known. The best known James at this time was Jesus' half-brother James, the apostle and leader of the church in Jerusalem. Jesus had another half-brother named Jude and two others: Joseph and Simon (Matt. 13:55). All four brothers became followers of Christ after his resurrection (Acts 1:14,15). We don't have any evidence that Jude was

³³ From Origen's *Commentary on Matthew*, preserved in Eusebius, *Ecclesiastical History*, book 6, chapter 25, paragraph 6.

³⁴ Tertullian, *Against Marcion*, book 4, chapter 5. See Alexander Roberts & James Donaldson, eds., *The Ante-Nicene Fathers* (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Eerdmans, 1980), vol. 3, p. 350.

³⁵ See Donald Guthrie, *New Testament Introduction* (Downers Grove, Illinois: Intervarsity Press, 1970) pp. 100-101.

designated as an apostle, but Harris points out “If James had disbelieved his half-brother at first (John 7:5) but became an early believer and distinguished leader after having seen the risen Christ, it is only a small step to think that his brother Jude was also accepted as a special apostle...”³⁶ The other possibility is that Jude the apostle (one of the original twelve and likely brother of James, son of Alphaeus) wrote this letter. In either case, the notion that this letter has apostolic origins is further supported by Tertullian’s (155-230 A.D.) reference to the author as “the Apostle Jude.”³⁷

Conclusion: The church did not create or determine the New Testament canon based on personal theological preferences. From the beginning, the early church recognized the teaching and writing of the Apostles as inspired. Their letters were written and received as scripture. Apostolic authorship was the known criteria for inclusion in the canon.

(R. Laird Harris) “We need speak of no strong intuition of the early church, as does Westcott, whereby these holy writings were distinguished from others. It was not an intuition: It was simple obedience to the known commands of Christ and his apostles.”³⁸

(F. F. Bruce) “What is particularly important to notice is that the New Testament canon was not demarcated by the arbitrary decree of any church council. When at last a church council—the Synod of Hippo in AD 393—listed the 27 books of the New Testament, it did not confer upon them any authority which they did not already possess, but simply recorded their previously established canonicity.”³⁹

The Apocrypha

What is the Apocrypha?

The Apocryphal books were written by Jewish authors during the period from 200 B.C. to 50 B.C. These include both historical works and wisdom literature. The Roman Catholic Bible contains the Apocrypha books (Tobit, Judith, Wisdom, Ecclesiasticus, Baruch I & II, Maccabees I & II) and certain additions to Esther and Daniel. Since these works are the primary source documents for this period of Jewish history, they are a useful source of historical information.

Why isn’t the Apocrypha part of our Bible?

³⁶ R. Laird Harris, *Inspiration and Canonicity of the Scriptures* (Greenville, South Carolina: A Press, 1996), p. 273.

³⁷ Tertullian, *On the Apparel of Women*, book 1, chapter 3.

³⁸ R. Laird Harris, *Inspiration and Canonicity of the Scriptures* (Greenville, South Carolina: A Press, 1996) p. 246.

³⁹ F. F. Bruce, *The Books and the Parchments* (London, England: Pickering and Inglis, 1971) pp. 112,113.

A. The apocryphal books themselves admit that the prophetic succession ended with Zechariah and Malachi.

“And they laid up the stones in the mountain of the temple in a convenient place, *till there should come a prophet*, and give answer concerning them.” – 1 Maccabees 4:46

“And there was a great tribulation in Israel, such as was not since the day that *there was no prophet seen in Israel.*” – 1 Maccabees 9:27

“And that the Jews, and their priests, had consented that he should be their prince, and high priest for ever, *till there should arise a faithful prophet.*” – 1 Maccabees 14:41

B. Many apocryphal teachings do not harmonize with the rest of Scripture.

Any iniquity is insignificant compared to a wife's iniquity. – Ecclesiasticus 25:19

From a woman sin had its beginning. Because of her we all die. – Ecclesiasticus 25:24

It is a disgrace to be the father of an undisciplined, and the birth of a daughter is a loss. – Ecclesiasticus 22:3

C. The apocryphal books never claim to be scripture.

D. Josephus rejected the canonicity of the apocryphal books, apparently reflecting current Jewish thought.

(Josephus) “From Artexerxes to our own time *the complete history has been written but has not been deemed worthy of equal credit* with the earlier records because of the failure of the exact succession of the prophets.”⁴⁰

E. Although it was occasionally quoted in early church writings, it was nowhere accepted in a canon. Melito (AD 170) and Origen rejected the Apocrypha, as does the Muratorian Canon. Pope Gregory the Great (600 AD) wrote of 1 Maccabees: “We address a testimony from books, though not canonical, yet published for the edification of the Church.”⁴¹

⁴⁰ Flavius Josephus, *Against Apion*, book 1, paragraph 8.

⁴¹ *Library of the Fathers of the Holy Catholic Church*, (Oxford: Parker, 1845), Gregory the Great, *Morals on the Book of Job*, Volume II, Parts III and IV, Book XIX.34, p.424.)

How then did the Apocrypha find its way into the Bible?

When and why did the Roman Catholic church canonize the Apocrypha?

The Roman Catholic Church did not officially canonize the Apocrypha until the Council of Trent (1546 A.D.)! This was in part because the Apocrypha contained material which supported certain Catholic doctrines, such as purgatory, praying for the dead, and the treasury of merit.

“And the day following Judas came with his company, to take away the bodies of them that were slain, and to bury them with their kinsmen, in the sepulchers of their fathers. And they found under the coats of the slain some of the donaries of the idols of Jamnia, which the law forbiddeth to the Jews: so that all plainly saw, that for this cause they were slain. Then they all blessed the just judgment of the Lord, who had discovered the things that were hidden. And so betaking themselves to prayers, they besought him, that the sin which had been committed might be forgotten. *But the most valiant Judas exhorted the people to keep themselves from sin, forasmuch as they saw before their eyes what had happened, because of the sins of those that were slain. And making a gathering, he sent twelve thousand drachmas of silver to Jerusalem for sacrifice to be offered for the sins of the dead, thinking well and religiously concerning the resurrection, (For if he had not hoped that they that were slain should rise again, it would have seemed superfluous and vain to pray for the dead.) And because he considered that they who had fallen asleep with godliness, had great grace laid up for them. It is therefore a holy and wholesome thought to pray for the dead, that they may be loosed from sins.*” - 2 Maccabees 12:39-46

Conclusion:

New Testament Apocryphal & Pseudepigraphal Writings

What are they?

New Testament pseudepigraphal writings refer to a large body of literature written from the second century AD into the middle ages.

"Pseudepigraphical" means:

In general, these writings fall into two categories:

A. Cheap devotional literature focusing on the miraculous and bizarre, written to satisfy the desire for further information about the life of Jesus and the careers of the apostles...

The Infancy Gospel of Thomas, which dates from the middle of the third century, is typical of this type of literature. It records various alleged incidents in Jesus' early childhood.

“ This little child Jesus when he was five years old was playing at the ford of a brook: and he gathered together the waters that flowed there into pools, and made them straightway clean, and commanded them by his word alone... And the son of Annas the scribe was standing there with Joseph; and he took a willow branch, and let out the waters which Jesus had collected. And Jesus, seeing what was done, was angry, and said to him: ‘O wicked, impious, and foolish! What harm did the pools and the waters do to you? Behold, even now you shall be dried up like a tree, and you shall not bring forth either leaves, or root, or fruit.’ And straightway that boy was withered up.”⁴²

“After that He was again passing through the village; and a boy ran up against Him, and struck His shoulder. And Jesus was angry, and said to him: ‘You shall not go back the way you came!’ And immediately he fell down dead.”⁴³

B. ...attempts to propagate different and often heretical teachings.

Pseudepigraphical literature was written by heretics in an effort to foist their ideas on the church with the alleged endorsement of Jesus or the apostles. Some of these writings are historically valuable because they provide information about the post-apostolic church and the doctrinal aberrations it faced. But they are not apostolic and therefore should not be regarded as canonical.

The Gospel of Thomas is the best known New Testament apocryphal writing of this sort. Recently, it has been touted as evidence of equally valid alternative views of Jesus that were silenced by the religious elite. It was written in the early second century, and was discovered in 1945 among the literature excavated at Nag Hammadi. But the Gospel of Thomas is neither a gospel (it is a collection of 114 alleged sayings of Jesus), nor is it written by Thomas (most scholars date the writing to around 150AD).

- "These are the secret sayings which the living Jesus spoke and which Didymus Judas Thomas wrote down. And he (Thomas) said, “Whoever finds the interpretation of these sayings will not experience death.” (1)⁴⁴

⁴² *The Infancy Gospel of Thomas*, 2:1; 3:1-3

⁴³ *The Infancy Gospel of Thomas*, 4:1

⁴⁴ This quote and the ones that follow are from Ron Cameron, ed., *The Other Gospels* (Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1982), p.

- "Jesus said, ` . . . the Kingdom is inside of you, and it is outside of you. When you come to know yourselves, then you will become known, and you will realize that it is you who are sons of the living Father. But if you will not know yourselves, you will dwell in poverty and it is you who are that poverty.'" (3)
- "Jesus said, `Blessed is the lion which becomes man when consumed by man; and cursed is the man whom the lion consumes, and the lion becomes man.'" (7)
- "The disciples said, `We know that you will depart from us. Who is to be our leader?' Jesus said to them, `Wherever you are, you are to go to James the righteous, for whose sake heaven and earth came into being.'" (12)
- "Jesus said to his disciples, `Compare me to someone and tell me whom I am like.' Simon Peter said to Him, `You are like a righteous angel.' Matthew said to Him, `You are like a wise philosopher.' Thomas said to Him, `Master, my mouth is totally incapable of saying what you are like.' Jesus said, `I am not your master. Because you have drunk, you have become intoxicated from the bubbling spring which I have measured out.'" (13)
- "Mary said to Jesus, `Whom are your disciples like?' He said, `They are like children who have settled in a field which is not theirs. When the owners of the field come, they will say, "Let us have back our field." They will undress in their presence in order to let them have back their field and to give it back to them . . . '" (21)
- "His disciples said to Him, 'When will the repose of the dead come about, and when will the new world come?' He said to them, 'what you look forward to has already come, but you did not recognize it'" (51)
- "Simon Peter said to them, `Let Mary leave us, for women are not worthy of Life.' Jesus said, `I myself shall lead her in order to make her male, so that she too may become a living spirit resembling you males. For every woman who will make herself male will enter the Kingdom of Heaven.'" (114)⁴⁵

25 ff. The number of each saying is in parentheses.

⁴⁵ Some translators say that this saying was added later, but it is included in the only complete manuscript we have of the Gospel of

Overall Conclusion

Books today recognized as scripture DID NOT receive that status centuries after the original writing. Old and New Testament writings were recognized as scripture by the generation living at the time of composition. This was done through recognition of prophetic or apostolic authorship.

The scriptures WERE NOT formed by men at councils. Councils only officially recognized what was already accepted as canonical.

God gave Jews and early Christians objective criteria by which they could recognize inspired books. The composition of our Bibles reflects the will of God, not the decisions of men.

We can trust that God has overseen the production and compilation of his Word! It has survived every attack and will continue to endure.

Memory Verses (covered last week)

Mt. 5:17,18* – The Old Testament is completely accepted by Jesus.

Matt. 24:35* – Jesus' own words are authoritative.

Matt. 10:40* – Jesus pre-authenticates the Apostles' words.

Assignment

Study for the exam.

Visit <http://www.xenos.org/classes/christianministry/usingyourbible/index.htm> and click on the “lecture notes” link under week 8 for detailed notes from this week’s lecture.

Thomas: Nag Hammadi, Codex II, Tractate 2.